

Getting to High-Quality LEA Data





Presenters



Fort Worth, TX – March 3-4, 2020

Richelle Davis, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs

Rebecca Smith, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs

Nashville, TN – Cancelled Due to COVID-19

Richelle Davis, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs

Rebecca Smith, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs



Agenda



- History and future
- Edit Checks: What the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) wants to see
- Using the LEA Data Quality Report (DQR)
- Common LEA Data Quality concerns
- Facilitated discussion



History of Section 618 LEA Data

- OSEP began collecting LEA data close to 15 years ago
- Evidence Act of 2019 has increased focus on open data
- OSEP has never published LEA data
 - The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) publishes the LEA data
 - Office for Civil Rights (OCR) rolls up school counts to publish an LEA count
- OSEP piloted a review of the LEA Child Count data this year



1120 Future of LEA Data

- Child Count
 - OSEP will continue to review data quality
 - NCES will publish the data this year
 - OSEP hopes to publish in the near future
 - Want to publish by disability
 - Possibly publish by educational environment in several years
- Assessment
 - Already published by EDFacts
- Personnel
 - Possible publication of a research file in the next year
- Discipline and Exiting
 - Not currently on the horizon
 - Interest exists to publish



1120 LEA Edit Checks

- <u>Timeliness</u> Were LEA files submitted by the due date?
- Completeness Were counts (including zeros) submitted for all appropriate LEAs?
- Accuracy Parts to Whole
 - By SEA: Does the total number of children reported in the LEAs equal the SEA-level total?
 - By LEA: Does the sum of the children reported in each disability category equal the total number of children with disabilities reported?

Year to Year

- Were there large changes between the previous year and current year?
- Was there more variability during a 5-year time period than expected?
- By SEA: Was there no change in any LEA total from the previous year? (identical data)

20 How to Use an LEA Data Quality Report

| 4 | С | D | Е | F | G | Н | T | J | K | L |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---|
| | State Name | State | FS | DG | DQ Check Type | DQ Rule ID | SEA EUT | Sum of LEA | Difference | OSEP Comment to State |
| | | Abbreviation | | | | | Student | EUT Student | | |
| 1 | ▼ | ~ | - | - | _ | _ | Count | Counts | _ | ▼ |
| 2 | | ZZ | 002 | 74 | Timeliness | PartB-CC-052 | | | | LEA-level data were not reported for file specification 002. Please submit the file. |
| 3 | | ZZ | 002 | 74 | Accuracy | PartB-CC-054 | 138,099 | 138,009 | 90 | The state-level Education Unit Total (EUT) count reported for the unduplicated number of children with disabilities (IDEA) ages 6 through 21 (138,099) is not equal to the sum of the LEA-level EUT counts reported for all LEAs in the state (138,009). If data are not accurate as submitted, please resubmit. If data are accurate, please submit a data note explaining the reason for the discrepancy. |
| 4 | SAMPLE STATE | ZZ | 089 | 613 | Timeliness | PartB-CC-058 | | | | LEA-level data were not reported for file specification 089. Please submit the file. |
| | SAMPLE STATE | ZZ | 089 | 613 | Accuracy | PartB-CC-060 | 30,111 | 30,084 | | The state-level Education Unit Total (EUT) count reported for the unduplicated number of children with disabilities |
| | Read | Me 1 Edit | t Fai | l per | rule PartB-0 | CC-053 Par | tB-CC-055 | PartB-C | C-059 Pa | artB-CC-061 PartB-CC-056 D141 |



1120 What We Found

- Very few "accuracy" errors Way to go!!
- Membership to Child Count edit check identified many districts
 - Recognize it is imperfect comparison
 - Applied a threshold
 - Will refer to data notes from pilot when conducting school year 2019–2020 review
- Still have many districts without children with disabilities
 - Charter schools

LEA Data Quality Guided Discussion



Topic One: Strategic Data Quality Review and Edit Checks

- Overarching Question
 - How can we build strong processes and checks to achieve the highest quality LEA data possible?
- Things to Consider
 - Edit checks: What works?/What doesn't?
 - How does your state review the data?
 - How does your state report back to LEAs?
 - Charter schools reporting and other reporting difficulties

Topic Two: Data Quality Reports and Data Notes

- Overarching Question
 - How can we strategically use LEA data quality reports and data notes to support improved data quality and better understanding of the LEA data?
- Things to Consider
 - Do the data quality reports address what you would consider the data quality concerns in your LEAs?
 - Do you use the OSEP data quality report with the LEA?
 - Responding to questions from the press related to LEA data

Topic Three: Supporting LEAs in Their Quest for Data Quality

- Overarching Question
 - In what ways can we (OSEP and SEAs) support LEAs as they work toward their goal of using high-quality data?
- Things to Consider
 - Training needs
 - Capacity at both SEA and LEA levels
 - Sharing of resources across LEAs and across states
 - Staff turnover



1120 Topic Four: Publishing Data

- Overarching Question
 - What keeps you up at night when you hear OSEP saying they want to publish more LEA data?
 - Or do you sleep like a baby ©
- Things to Consider
 - Increased scrutiny
 - Preventing incorrect interpretations
 - What is most likely to be misinterpreted/misunderstood?
 - What can the U.S. Department of Education do to help minimize those risks?

Questions?



Contact Us



Richelle Davis, <u>Richelle.Davis@ed.gov</u>
Rebecca Smith, <u>Rebecca.Smith@ed.gov</u>

For More Information





Visit the IDC website

http://ideadata.org/



Follow us on Twitter

https://twitter.com/ideadatacenter



Follow us on LinkedIn

http://www.linkedin.com/company/idea-data-center



This presentation was supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Education, #H373Y190001. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the federal government.

Project Officers: Richelle Davis and Rebecca Smith



