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Today’s Webinar: Meeting IDEA Section 616 Public Reporting Requirements

- Welcome and thank you for joining us
- This webinar is being recorded
- Slides from this presentation will be shared on the IDC website
- All participants will be muted
- Please type your questions in the chat box
- Please stay for the evaluation after the end of the presentation
Where to Find Back-to-Basics Webinars

3:00 PM on October 31, 2017 -- 4:00 PM on October 31, 2017

Back-to-Basics on Part B Assessment—What You Need to Know About Indicator B3

This webinar continued IDC’s Back-to-Basics Webinar Series for new Part B state staff, staff with new indicator responsibilities, and those who want a refresher on ins-and-outs of the SPP/APR indicators and related Section 618 data collections. The webinar will focus on beginning level information on Indicator B3 (Assessment), including a review of B3’s specific criteria and data sources; steps and calculations required to collect, analyze, and report Indicator B3 data; and any differences or similarities between Indicator B3 and the other indicators.

Expected outcomes of the webinar were that participants would gain a better understanding of Indicator B3 requirements to ensure high-quality data for SPP/APR reporting and increased knowledge about available resources and supports for understanding and reporting Indicator B3 data.

Materials

- Back-to-Basics on Indicator B3

Topics

- State Performance Plan - SPP
- Annual Performance Report - APR
- Part B
- 618 Data

Presenters

- Susan Hayes
- Tiffany Boyd

YouTube Recording
Agenda

- Publicly reporting local performance
- Why, What, Who, and HOW: Improving State Reporting of Local Performance
- The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard
- Questions
- Evaluation
Participant Outcomes

Increased knowledge and understanding of:
- Section 616 public reporting requirements and timelines
- Best practices related to public reporting
- IDC tools and resources to support public reporting
- Privacy issues that affect public reporting efforts
- How public reporting can serve multiple purposes
The Relationship Between Part B APR Indicators and IDEA EDFacts Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APR Indicator</th>
<th>EDFacts file or EMAPS entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ind 2 Dropout</td>
<td>FS009 Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Exiting Special Ed (for Measurement Option 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ind 3 Assessment        | FS175 Academic Achievement in Mathematics  
FS178 Academic Achievement in Reading (Language Arts)  
FS185 Assessment Participation in Mathematics  
FS188 Assessment Participation in Reading/Language Arts |
| Ind 4 Suspension/Expulsion | FS006 Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Suspensions/Expulsions                             |
| Ind 5 LRE Placement     | FS002 Children with Disabilities (IDEA) School Age                                          |
| Ind 6 EC Settings       | FS089 Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Early Childhood                                    |
| Ind 9 and 10 Disproportionality | FS002 Children with Disabilities (IDEA) School Age                                     |
| Ind 15 and 16 Hearing Requests Resolved & Mediation Agreements | Part B Dispute Resolution                                                                 |
The Relationship Between Part C APR Indicators and IDEA EMAPS Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APR Indicator</th>
<th>EMAPS entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ind 2 Settings</td>
<td>Part C Child Count and Settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind 5 Child Find, Ages Birth to 1</td>
<td>Part C Child Count and Settings plus Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind 6 Child Find, Ages Birth to 3</td>
<td>Part C Child Count and Settings plus Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind 9 Hearing Requests Resolved</td>
<td>Part C Dispute Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind 10 Mediation Agreements</td>
<td>Part C Dispute Resolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part B & Part C Section 616 Public Reporting Requirements
Publicly Reporting LEA Performance

Per 34 CFR 300.602, States must report:

- Annually to the public the performance of LEA on the targets in the State Performance Plan (SPP)
- As soon as practicable but no later than 120 days following submission of the Annual Performance Report (APR)
Publicly Reporting LEA Performance (cont.)

Per 34 CFR 300.602, States must make the following items available through public means:

- The SPP, under §300.601(a)
- The APR, under §300.601(a)(2); and
- The performance of each LEA located in the State, under paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A)
Publicly Reporting LEA Performance (cont.)

Reporting the performance of each LEA located in the State, under paragraph (b)(1)(i)(A) the State must at a minimum:

- post the plan and reports on SEA’s Web site and
- distribute the plan and reports to the media and through public agencies
What About Part C Early Intervention Service (EIS) Programs?

In accordance with 20 U.S.C. 1416(b)(2)(C)(ii)(I) and 1442 and 34 CFR §303.702, each Lead Agency must also report annually to the public on the performance of each early intervention service (EIS) program located in the State on the targets in its Part C – SPP, as soon as practicable, but no later than 120 days following the State’s submission of its Part C – APR.

Part C Public Reporting Requirements

- For SPP/APR Indicators 1 through 8, the state must publicly report the performance of each local EIS program against the target of the state’s SPP/APR data.

- Indicators 9 & 10 are reported at the state or Lead Agency level and do not need to be reported at the EIS program level.
Publicly Reporting Local Performance Questions and Answers

**Question:** May States report intermediate unit (or regional) information rather than LEA/EIS program information where the total population of children with disabilities measured by the indicator in the LEA/EIS program is too small to report results?

**Answer:** Yes. States may report information for intermediate units (or regions) rather than for LEA/EIS programs in situations where the N size is too small to report results without revealing personally identifiable information.

Source: *Questions and Answers on Monitoring, Technical Assistance and Enforcement* (Revised June 2009)
Publicly Reporting Local Performance Questions and Answers (cont.)

**Question:** Must States report on LEA/EIS program performance on SPP targets include actual data (i.e., percent scores) or is it permissible for States to simply indicate whether or not the LEA/EIS program met the State’s SPP targets?

**Answer:** The State’s report for each LEA/EIS program must include actual data (for example, a specific percentage) that shows whether or not the LEA/EIS program has met the State’s targets for each indicator that applies.

Source: *Questions and Answers on Monitoring, Technical Assistance and Enforcement* (Revised June 2009)
IDC Tools and Resources to Support Section 616 Public Reporting
Why, What, Who, and HOW: Improving State Reporting of Local Performance

Format: 616 Local Reporting Infographic

Purpose

- To provide basic information about the requirement for states to “report annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets in the State’s performance plan.” (IDEA Regulations §300.602(b)(1)(i)(A))
- To go beyond the basic requirements to help make LEA/local program data easier to find and use.
Why, What, Who, and HOW: Improving State Reporting of Local Performance (cont.)

Contents

- Sections about why, what, who, and how
- "HOW" section - goes beyond the basics
- Information about enhancing reports of LEA/local program performance
Why, What, Who, and HOW: Improving State Reporting of LEA/Local Program Performance (cont.)

Other important components

- Privacy issues: A reminder about the importance of taking care not to reveal personally identifiable information about children
- Examples of types of data visualizations
- A comparison of the “usability” of different formats for displaying LEA/local program performance data
Why, What, Who, and HOW: Improving State Reporting of Local Performance (cont.)

Take a brief tour of the infographic....
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard

- A new tool to create data visualizations based on user entry of SPP/APR data
- Gives users various options of displaying data depending on the indicator
- Assists SEA staff in communicating complex data to stakeholders in a user-friendly manner
- Created based on feedback from content experts, SEA staff, and usability testing
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard (cont.)

- Provides SEA staff with visualizations to engage various stakeholders based on
  - Audience
  - Message
  - Report or presentation purpose

- Currently creates data visualizations for Indicators 1, 2, 3, 13, and 14
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard – Technical Specifications

- IDC designed the tool to be used with any version of Excel
- Charts will automatically update based on the data entered
- Users can transfer charts into other software via copy and paste or by taking a screenshot of the graph
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard – How It Works

Indicator 1: Graduation

Compare data against targets over time

Directions: Enter data starting from the left-most column (B) by overwriting existing text. You may leave columns blank if you do not have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,966</td>
<td>8,249</td>
<td>9,310</td>
<td>9,978</td>
<td>9,759</td>
<td>9,812</td>
<td>11,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of youth with IEPs in the year's adjusted cohort graduating with a regular diploma:</td>
<td>10,750</td>
<td>10,196</td>
<td>15,682</td>
<td>19,258</td>
<td>14,333</td>
<td>18,301</td>
<td>19,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of youth with IEPs in the year's adjusted cohort eligible to graduate:</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of youth with IEPs in the year's adjusted cohort graduating with a regular diploma:</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicator 1: Include a descriptive title!

Line Chart
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard – How It Works (cont.)

Data Entry

Directions: Enter data starting from the left-most column (B) by overwriting existing text. You may leave columns blank if you do not have.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduation</td>
<td>6,966</td>
<td>8,249</td>
<td>9,310</td>
<td>9,978</td>
<td>9,759</td>
<td>9,812</td>
<td>11,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FFY) Youth with IEPs in the year’s adjusted cohort graduating with a regular diploma:</td>
<td>10,750</td>
<td>10,196</td>
<td>15,682</td>
<td>19,258</td>
<td>14,333</td>
<td>18,301</td>
<td>19,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of youth with IEPs in the year’s adjusted cohort eligible to graduate:</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year target:</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph automatically updates

Indicator 1: Include a descriptive title!

The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard – How It Works (cont.)

Indicator 1: Graduation Rate

Directions: Enter a whole number percent (no decimals) to represent.

Use an image to add context to data

56%

This picto chart works best when you take a screenshot. If you try to copy and paste it, things may go wrong.
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard – How It Works (cont.)

Indicator 14: Include a descriptive title!

This chart can be copied and pasted into Microsoft Word or PowerPoint, or you can take a screenshot.

Nested Area Chart

Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

Directions: Enter data for this chart at the top of this worksheet.

Demonstrate a table of figures with an inline visual aid to support comparison of patterns in a confined space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14a: Higher education</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14b: Higher education or Competitive Employment</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14c: Higher Education, Competitive Employment, Some Other Employment</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table can be copied and pasted into Microsoft Word or PowerPoint, or you can take a screenshot.

Table with Sparkline
The IDC Part B Indicator Data Display Wizard – Available Charts*

- Line chart
- Lollipop chart
- Icon chart
- Picto chart
- Column chart
- Nested area chart
- Table with sparkline
- Bar chart
- Dot chart
- Target deviation chart
- Gauge chart
- Nested tree chart

*Available charts based on indicator data
Ways to Get the Most Out of the Data Display Wizard

- Before entering data, determine your audience, message, and purpose
- Have your state’s SPP/APR readily available from the current and previous years
- Gather data by categories (if needed for the visualization)
Questions?
Evaluation Poll

The poll questions will appear on the right-hand side.
Contact Us

- Chris Thacker – chris.thacker@uky.edu
- Dan Mello – dmello@wested.org
- Fred Edora – fred.edora@aemcorp.com
For More Information

Visit the IDC website
http://ideadata.org/

Follow Us on Twitter
https://twitter.com/ideadatacenter

Follow Us on LinkedIn
http://www.linkedin.com/company/idea-data-center
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